



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/029,194

12/28/2001

Shahram Shah-Heydari

91436-347

5400

22463

7590

01/26/2006

SMART AND BIGGAR  
438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 1500 BOX 111  
TORONTO, ON M5G2K8  
CANADA

EXAMINER

EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2157

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/029,194	SHAH-HEYDARI, SHAHRAM	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7-11, 16-24 and 28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7-11, 16-24 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to RCE received on Nov. 11, 2005. Claims 5, 6, 12-15 and 25-27 were canceled. Claim 28 was newly added. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 16-19, 21-24 were amended. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 16-24 and 28 are pending examination.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 16-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelly et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,804,199 (referred to hereafter as Kelly).

As to claim 1, Kelly teaches a method of extending a spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network comprising:

at a current node, receiving an invitation to become a child of a first adjacent node (col. 4 lines 45-col. 5 lines 20);

if a minimum link bandwidth along a protection path from said current node to a root node of the spanning hierarchical protection tree which visits the first adjacent node is greater than a minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node: designating said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node in said tree; and from said current node, sending an invitation to

become a child of said current node in said tree to each adjacent node of said current node that is not said first adjacent node (col. 6 lines 50-col. 7 lines 65, the best link is determined according to the bandwidth capability).

As to claim 2, Kelly teaches the method of claim 1, Kelly further teaches. if said minimum link bandwidth along said protection path from said current node to said root node which visits the first adjacent node is not greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node: designating said first adjacent node as a backup parent of said current node in said tree (col. 6 lines 50-col. 7 lines 65).

As to claim 3, Kelly teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said backup parents is one of a number of backup parents of said current node, each one of said number of backup parents having a priority based on a minimum link bandwidth of a protection path from said current node to said root node which visits said one of said number of backup parents, with a higher minimum link bandwidth being associated with a higher priority (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 4, Kelly teaches the method of claim 3, Kelly further teaches ensuring that said designating of said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node does not introduce a loop into said spanning hierarchical protection tree (col. 6 lines 50-col. 7 lines 65).

As to claim 7, Kelly teaches a computing device comprising: a processor; memory in communication with said processor, storing processor readable instructions

adapting said device to extend a spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network (col. 4 lines 45-col. 5 lines 65) by:

at a current node, receiving an invitation to become a child of a first adjacent node; and if a minimum link bandwidth along a protection path from said current node to a root node of the spanning hierarchical protection tree which visits the first adjacent node is greater than a minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node, designating said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node in said tree-(col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 8, Kelly teaches the computing device of claim 7, wherein said instructions further adapt said device to: if said minimum link bandwidth along said protection path from said current node to said root node which visits the first adjacent node is greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node, send from said current node an invitation to become a child of said current node in said tree to each adjacent node of said current node that is not said first adjacent node (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 9, Kelly teaches the computer device of claim 7 and claim 8, wherein said memory further comprises; instructions adapting said device to:  
If said minimum link bandwidth along said protection path from said current node to said root node which visits the first adjacent node is not greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node, designate said first adjacent node as a backup parent of said current node in said tree (col. 12 lines 9-col. 13 lines 64).

As to claim 10, Kelly teaches the computing device of claim 7, claim 8 and claim 9, wherein said backup parent is one of a number of backup parents of said current node, each one of said number of backup parents having a priority based on a minimum link bandwidth of a protection path from said current node to said root node which visits said one of said number of backup parents, with a higher minimum link bandwidth being associated with a higher priority (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 11, Kelly teaches the computing device of claim 7, claim 8, claim 9 and claim 10, wherein said instruction further adapt said device to insure that said designating of said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node does not introduce a loop into said spanning hierarchical protection tree (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claims 16 and 28, Kelly teaches computer readable medium storing computer software that, when loaded into a computing device, adapts said device to extend a spanning hierarchical protection tree it a mesh network by: at a current node, receiving an invitation to become a child of a first adjacent node (column 4 lines 45-col. 5 lines 65); and

if a minimum link bandwidth along a protection path from said current node to a root node of the spanning hierarchical protection tree which visits the first adjacent node is greater than a minimum link bandwidth of any existing. protection path from said current node to said root node, designating said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node in said tree (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 17, Kelly teaches the computer readable medium of claim 16, wherein said software is further capable of adapting said device by: if said minimum link bandwidth along said protection path from said current node to said root node which visits the first adjacent node is greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said current node to said root node, sending from said current node an invitation to become a child of said current node in said tree to each adjacent node of said current node that is not said first adjacent node (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 18, Kelly teaches the computer readable medium of claim 16 and claim 17, wherein said software is further capable of adapting said device by:

if said minimum link bandwidth along said protection path from said current node to said root node which visits the first adjacent node is not greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any exiting protection path from said current node to said root node, designating said first adjacent node as a backup parent of said current node in said tree (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 19, Kelly teaches the computer readable of claim 16, claim 17 and claim 18, wherein said backup parent is one of a number of backup parents of said current node, each one of said number of backup parents having a priority based on a minimum link bandwidth of a protection path from said current node to said root node which visits said one of said number of backup parents, with a higher minimum link bandwidth being associated with a higher priority (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 20, Kelly teaches the computer readable of claim 16, claim 17, claim 18 and claim 19, wherein software is further capable of adapting said device to extend a

spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network by ensuring that said designating of said first adjacent node as a primary parent of said current node does not introduce a loop into said spanning hierarchical protection tree (col. 12 lines 15-col. 13 lines 65).

As to claim 21, Kelly teaches a computer readable medium storing computer software that, when loaded into a computing device, adapts said device to reconnect a node disconnected from a spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network to the spanning hierarchical protection tree by: designating a backup parent of said disconnected node in said tree to be a \_ primary parent of said disconnected node in said tree; and from said disconnected node, sending an invitation to become a child of said disconnected node in said tree to each adjacent node of said disconnected node that is not said primary parent (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 22, Kelly teaches, the computer readable medium of claim 21, wherein said software is further capable of adapting said device by: for each said adjacent node, if said minimum link bandwidth along a protection path from said auxiliary node to said root node of the spanning hierarchical protection tree which visits said adjacent node is not greater than said minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said auxiliary node to said root node, designating said adjacent node as a backup parent of said auxiliary node in said tree (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 23, Kelly teaches computer readable medium storing computer software that; when loaded into a computing device, adapts said-device to connect an \_



auxiliary node to a spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network (fig 17) by: receiving an invitation from each adjacent node of said auxiliary node for said auxiliary node to become a child of said adjacent node (col. 12 lines 10-col. 13 lines 45); and designating as a primary parent of said auxiliary node the one adjacent node that is visited by a protection path from said auxiliary node to a root node of said spanning hierarchical protection tree whose minimum link bandwidth is at least as large as the largest minimum link bandwidth of all existing protection paths from said auxiliary node to said root node (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

As to claim 24, Kelly teaches computer readable medium storing computer software that, when loaded into a computing device, adapts said device to connect an auxiliary node to a spanning hierarchical protection tree in a mesh network by: requesting an invitation from each adjacent node of said auxiliary node for said auxiliary node to become a child of said adjacent node; from each said adjacent node, receiving an invitation to become a child of said adjacent node (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65) each said adjacent node: if a minimum link bandwidth along a protection path from said auxiliary node to a root node of the spanning hierarchical protection tree which visits said adjacent node is greater than a minimum link bandwidth of any existing protection path from said auxiliary node to said root node: designating said adjacent node as a primary parent of said auxiliary node in said tree (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65); and from said auxiliary node, sending an invitation to become a child of said auxiliary node in said tree to each further adjacent node of said auxiliary node that is not said primary parent adjacent node (col. 11 lines 11-col. 12 lines 65).

***Response to Arguments***


3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A. El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein El-chanti

Jan. 11, 2006

  
ARIO ETIENNE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100